Ant Algorithms and their Application in Navigation and Heuristics

Sebastian Iwanowski FH Wedel, University of Applied Sciences, Germany

for course "Innovation Topics", Haaga-Helia, Finland

Ant Algorithms and Applications

- 1. Motivation: Natural ant systems and their modifications for computer simulation
- 2. Solving the navigation problem
- 3. Solving the vehicle routing problem in logistics
- 4. Conclusion: Lessons learnt

Ants as traffic participants

Ants find good paths

- Coordination for seeking food
- Adaptation for changes in the environment

Targets in traffic network optimization

- Shortest path
- Fastest path
- Most comfortable path
- Use dynamic information



Fundamental principle of ant coordination

- Each ant deposits pheromones continuously.
- At junctions, the probability that an ant proceeds on a specific segment is proportional to the pheromone concentration on this segment.
- It can be distinguished if an ant is on the way towards the food supply or on the way back (carrying food):

Alternative methods to achieve this:

- a) Each ant returns the same way back (as soon as it found food).
- b) For the ways forth and back, different types of pheromones are used.

Advantages of probabilistic decision making: Example (alt. a)



Advantages of probabilistic decision making: summary

Autocatalysis

- Positive feedback using pheromones
- The higher the pheromone concentration, the more ants will use the path and increase pheromone concentration.

Implicite problem solving

• The shorter a path, the more ants use it in the same time which makes pheromone concentration increasing faster.

Conclusion from these properties

- An overwhelming majority of ants will use the shortest path quickly.
- This even holds when data changes dynamically during operation !

Advantages of natural evaporation

Problem: Stagnation

- Fairly good solutions at the beginning get enforced quickly.
- Risk: Avarage ants find only avarage solution.
- Algorithm converges too fast before optimum is found.
- Gradual deterioration of path will not be realized.

Solution: Evaporation of pheromones

- Limitation for the difference of pheromone concentration
- New information counts more than old one.
- Compromise between confirmation and new search

Artificial Ant Systems

Real ants vs. artificial ants

Common features

- *Emergence*: Super-organism made of simple communicating individuals
- Stigmergy: Indirect communication via pheromones
- Decisions are limited to a short local range
- Decision parameters come from a local short-sighted range
- Continuous adaptation to changes

Features in which artificial ants differ

- Discrete world, discrete transition states via time cycles
- State variables, memory
- Pheromone dopping may be directly correlated to solution quality.
- Pheromone dropping may be retarded.
- Further problem specific capabilities of the single ants

Ant Algorithms and Applications

- 1. Motivation: Natural ant systems and their modifications for computer simulation
- 2. Solving the navigation problem
- 3. Solving the vehicle routing problem in logistics
- 4. Conclusion: Lessons learnt

System Design: System components [A] **Position Detection** [C] [B] navigation query [D] actual time needed for road segments answer Ant-Based Road Navigation System Ant System **Time Collection** Navigation Query System System [E] [F] best paths only time input for graph segments

Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)

Developments by several research groups

AntNet (sophisticated, works in practice)

Dorigo M., G. Di Caro & L. M. Gambardella (1999). Ant Algorithms for Discrete Optimization. *Artificial Life*, 5(2):137-172.

http://iridia.ulb.ac.be/~mdorigo/ACO/ACO.html

Gianni Di Caro, An Introduction to Swarm Intelligence and Metaheuristics for Combinatorial Optimization: lecture slides http://www.idsia.ch/~gianni/my_lectures.html

Ant Based Control (ABC) (easy to explain, works only for small systems)

- Kroon R., *Dynamic vehicle routing using Ant Based Control*, Master's thesis, Delft University of Technology, 2002.
- R. Schoonderwoerd, O. Holland, and J. Bruten. Ant-like agents for load balancing in telecommunications networks. In *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Autonomous Agents*, pages 209–216. ACM Press, 1997.

Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)

Base for path decision:

Pheromones in routing table for each node:

Examples for nodes F and C:

	table	F		
Next	С	G	Е	Ne
Dest				Dest
А	0.3	0.65	0.05	А
В	0.5	0.35	0.15	В
С	0.9	0.05	0.05	D
D	0.9	0.05	0.05	E
E	0.05	0.05	0.9	F
G	0.6	0.35	0.05	G

0.5

0.5

1.0

D

0.5

С

В

2.0

Α

0.5

1.0

Е

0.5

This need not necessarily correspond to current traffic situation !

Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)

Algorithmic processing

Alternating phases:

Construction of a route and update of pheromone values

Continuously, ants are generated from each source to each destination

Tasks of an ant running from ist source to its destination (forward ant phase):

- At each intersection, choose next edge probabilistically (according to current table entries)
- Collect and store the encountered information (edge lengths, etc.)
- Start the individual pheromone update phase for this ant when destination is reached

Tasks of the pheromone update for a single ant (backward ant phase):

- Trace back the path the corresponding ant used
- Update node infos according to the real-time information the forward ant collected

The ABC strategy for pheromone update

$$\Delta P_{s,d} = \frac{c_1}{t_{s,d}} + c_2$$

Evaporation coefficient:

This number is used to confirm the path, the ant has really used, and – simultaneously – to deminish the paths, the ant has NOT used.

Evaporation of pheromones for edges not used

$$P_{d,i} = \frac{P_{d,i}}{1 + \Delta P_{s,d}} \forall i \neq f$$

Confirmation of pheromones for edges used

$$P_{d,f} = \frac{P_{d,f} + \Delta P_{s,d}}{1 + \Delta P_{s,d}}$$

- s ... source of ant
- d ... destination of ant
- F ... node which was next for ant in order to reach destination

Example for a specific ACO procedure (ABC)

Constructing the route (forward ant phase)

$$\frac{\text{memory}}{\text{s} = F \quad \text{d} = B}$$
$$t_{F,C}=1.5 \quad t_{C,B}=0.5$$

Table for F (extract)									
Dest	Next	С	G	E					
В		0.5	0.35	0.15					

Table for C (extract)										
Dest	Next	A	В	D	F					
В		0.05	0.85	0.05	0.05					

Example for a specific ACO procedure (ABC)

Updating the pheromones (backward ant phase):

Example for a specific ACO procedure (ABC)

Updating the pheromones (backward ant phase):

System Design: Distributing the ant system

Big networks are a problem:

- Amount of ants grows quadratic in network size
- More ants produce a higher computing effort

Solution:

- Distribute computing load to several peer services
- This is enabled by indirect and local communication

System Design: Distributing the ant system

Mobile use of pheromones

discussion und details in master thesis of Michael Suthe, 2007 (in German)

Advantages to traditional navigation methods

Compressed storage of data:

- Pheromones store compressed information collected from many segments.
- This enables feasible mobile distribution.

Concurrent computation of data:

- Ant system computes pheromones off-board.
- This enables greater computing capacities.
- Eager computing before actual query \rightarrow quicker reply.

Middleware connecting data collection and data use:

- Dynamic data is collected from all of the country and distibuted to each user.
- Central server is mediator between data collectors and data users.
- This grants the general advantage of each middleware: Less communication effort between providers and users.

Ant Algorithms and Applications

- 1. Motivation: Natural ant systems and their modifications for computer simulation
- 2. Solving the navigation problem
- 3. Solving the vehicle routing problem in logistics
- 4. Conclusion: Lessons learnt

The Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP):

Supplier has set of trucks.

Supplier must deliver goods to certain customers.

The set of customers is assigned to set of trucks such that each customer is supplied by exactly one truck.

Each vehicle starts at the supplier's depot and visits each customer assigned to itself exactly once, delivers the required goods and finally returns to the depot (for one vehicle: TSP, the Traveling Salesman Problem)

Let's consider TSP first, and then consider VRP !

ACO main procedure for TSP

- 1. Initialise parameters und pheromones.
- 2. Repeat as long as termination criterion is not satisfied:
 - I. Generate ants and let them construct a complete tour considering the current pheromone distribution.
 - II. Update pheromones.

1. TSP with ACO: Initialisation

Distribute pheromone intensities uniformly to all edges of the network.

The ants following will drop their pheromones slightly less intense than deposited in this initial phase:

• If the initial pheromone intensities are too weak, subsequent ants are too much biased by the first tour.

•If the initial pheromone intensities are too strong, subsequent ants are too little influenced by the first ant scouts at all.

Work with m ants on n nodes (m >> n).

2.I TSP with ACO: Constructing the Tour

Outline

- 1. Every ant starts at its initialisation node and visits adjacent nodes subsequently until it has visited all nodes.
- 2. Finally, every ant returns to its initialisation node.
- 3. At the end, the tour of every ant may be optimised.

2.I TSP with ACO: Constructing the Tour

Pheromone biased search

Formula for the probability that using the edge (i,j) is a good choice for the tour:

$$p_{ij}^{k} = \frac{\left[\tau_{ij}\right]^{\alpha} \left[\eta_{ij}\right]^{\beta}}{\sum_{l \in \mathcal{N}_{i}^{k}} \left[\tau_{il}\right]^{\alpha} \left[\eta_{il}\right]^{\beta}}, \quad \text{if } j \in \mathcal{N}_{i}^{k}$$

 τ_{ij} : pheromone intensity.

(What was experienced in the past ?)

 η_{ij} : heuristic information: $1/d_{ij}$

(How good is this edge normally ?)

 α und β : internal parameters for adjusting.

 \mathcal{N}_i^k : Set of nodes being candidates for a visit next.

2.II TSP with ACO: Updating the Pheromones

Principle

The update of pheromones starts after all ants have visited all nodes and returned to their initialisation node.

The strength of the new pheromones for edges used by a tour should depend on the quality of the tour discovered.

2.II TSP with ACO: Updating the Pheromones

Details: Evaporation

All pheromones are diminished by a constant number (Pheromone Evaporation Phase).

This makes edges on bad tour less attractive.

Evaporation formula:

$$\tau_{ij} \leftarrow (1-p) \ \tau_{ij}, \ \forall \ (i, j) \in E$$

p: fixed network evaporation rate 0

2.II TSP with ACO: Updating the Pheromones

Details: Enforcement

After evaporation phase, all trails used are enforced.

Every ant raises the pheromone on each edge it used for the tour:

$$\forall (i, j) \in \mathsf{E} \quad \tau_{ij} \leftarrow \tau_{ij} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta \tau_{ij}^{k},$$

If L^k is the discovered length of the tour k, $\Delta \tau^k_{ij} = 1/L^k$, if edge (i, j) was used by tour k.

If edge (*i*, *j*) was not used by tour *k*, $\Delta \tau_{ii}^{k} = 0$.

TSP with ACO: Remarks on Feasibility

For big networks, it is infeasible to compare all nodes of the network where to go next.

Nearest Neighbour Lists are used instead:

An ant will only decide between nodes of the Nearest Neighbour List.

TSP with ACO: Remarks on Further Optimisation

Not all ants are equal: Some ants are elected to be elite ants:

After end of all tours, all lengths are compared.

Only the best ants are allowed to deposite new pheromones.

From TSP to VRP

VRP unites several TSPs:

This suggests to generalise TSP algorithms: Use parallel ant swarms instead of single ants: One ant stands for the use of one truck

But in most cases, there are further constraints:

- Capacity of trucks chosen is limited
- Time windows for delivery have to be observed.

Capacitated Vehicle Routing Problem

CVRP is the basic <u>practical</u> VRP. This was the first VRP for which ant algorithms were used (grocery stores in Switzerland).

Ants start independently from each other and choose next node subsequently just as in TSP.

If capacity is used up or if maximum route length is obtained \rightarrow Ant has to go back to the depot.

(C)VRP with Time Windows

Most typical (C)VRP in reality.

Crucial difference: Time Windows - Customers cannot be served at any time

Two optimisation criteria:

- Minimisation of parallel routes (trucks)
- Minimisation of overall delivery time.

Normally, minimisation of trucks gets priority.

Application: Oil and gas delivery

Problems for operation:

- Trucks are not where they should be
- Customer changes his order parameters
- New orders have come in

Application: Oil and gas delivery

Tractor EUI590FP (30 Trailer 844133 (300 Driver Parkins, Gen	133(1993) D_TEST)(1992) _TEST)(1989) (5228)																		
Dispatch Area SOUTH EAST Shift Dispatch Shift Workload Time 04:55:00	: 1 (04:00 + 24 hrs) [6.535 Ng	(11.567.5g)			_	_	_	A File Edit C	Query OpenTAS View	- [Dispatcher Workber Window ?	ch (890 RUD!)]							
Distance 123,658 mi Adat 29.07.2011 User Ico_36 Commenter Commenter									Selection Dispatcher 100_7b (1	(1) SOUTH EAST	9v#t [30.06.2011	Dispatch Shift 1 (04:00 + 24 hrs) (Planc	Data) From 30.06.2011 To 30.06.2	26.1					
Ine	14/29/00	04:25:00	104:53:00	De 56 05	las es co	(0.49.00)	le o n	07-20 DF	Orders	Trip	Ovder Stern B	est Del. Ship to	/ Terminal Product	Tank Planned Execu	ed Opt % ETA .	Accounts All Trucks 29.06.2011 30.06.2011	11.07.2011		
Type Start Location	() Head	Demo HB 1 (627526530	Load	Load	VORDE Purfield (1000000	Delvery	Delivery	Dalivery	- E 6	1048566 110 5 1048566 110 5	148697713 148697456 30.0 148697713 148697457 30.0	6.2011 19:00 Demo Station 12 (3372) 6.2011 19:00 Demo Station 12 (3372)	Test Terminal 1 (20000) CITD (52* Test Terminal 1 (20000) ULSP (55:	313 337206 6.550 LLT 496 337203 13.900 LLT	142,39% 30.06-2011 16: 163,53% 30.06-2011 16:		Terminal Contract	t Number Product	Price
Destination Location			VOPAK Purfleet (20000)	VORAR Purfeet (20000)	DOWER EXTRA (20000000)					1048566 118 5	148697713 148697458 30.0	6.2011 19:00 Demo Station 12 (3372)	Test Terminal 1 (20000) = ULSP (55)	496 337204 12.950 LLT	156,33% 30.06.2011 16:	• 17	Test Terminal 1 (20000) 20000	2 BULSP	0,9990 GBP for 1 [LLT (
Events										1040540 110 5	140557552 140557352 30.0 140557552 140557352 30.0	6.2011 20:00 Demo Station 3 (2162) 6.2011 20:00 Demo Station 3 (2162)	Test Terminal 1 (20000) ULSP (55)	496 216202 9.475 LLT	126,33% 30.06.2011 09:		Test Terminal 1 (20000) 20000 Test Terminal 1 (20000) WDM Davi	3 CITD	1 GBP for 1 [LLT (
Release Apg			20000/2	20000 / 2		154036716 / 154035705	154035716 / 154035706	154035716 / 1540357	B	1048548 110 5	148557552 148557354 30.0	6-2011 20:00 Demo Station 3 (2162)	Test Terminal 1 (20000) CITD (52	313 216203 11.450 LLT	128,65% 30.06.2011 09	• 7	Test Terminal I (20000) 30001	1 m MO99	1 GBP for 1 (ULT (
ASR time				The second se						1048548 110 5	148557552 148557355 30.0	6.2011 20:00 Demo Station 3 (2162)	Test Terminal 1 (20000) CITD (S2	313 216206 9.475 LLT	119,94% 30.06.2011 09:		Test Terminal 1 (20000) 30001	2 BULSP	1 GBP for 1 [LLT (
ASR value						18.900 - 20.000 (12.100)	LLT 11.800 · 41.900 (13.805)	ILT 16.000 - 41.700 (17.4		1048522 110 5	140565000 140564771 30.0	6.2011 11:00 Demo Station 6 (5601) 6.2011 11:00 Demo Station 6 (5601)	Test Terminal I (20000) ULSP (55: Test Terminal I (20000) ULSP (55:	496 560101 9.600 LLT	94,12% 30.06.2011 10:		Test Terminal 1 (20000) 50001	at_1 10 ULSP	1 GBP for 1 [LL1]
ASR range Product			ULSP (55749614)	LLSP (55749614)		LLSF (55749614)	LLSP (SS749614)	LLSP (55749614)		1048522 110 5	148565008 148564773 30.0	6.2011 11:00 Demo Station 6 (5601)	Test Terminal 1 (20000) CITD (S2	313 560103 10.200 LLT	94,44% 30.06.2011 10: •	X V [Termond] - Test 7	minal 1, (2000)/ 💌		/
Tank No.									HI HI 4 Record 23	16 of 265 + H H W W 4					1	et et e Record 1 of 10	> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >		
Quantity Density				730.0 CRT					Trip-List I Trip	p-Gantt									
Temp				15,0 VTemp					+ 1 = N										
Compartment Allocation			9.662 Kg	21.135 Kg	-	9.662 Kg	10.059 Kg	11.079 Kg			02:00 03:00	04:00 05:00	05:00 07:00 06:00	09:00 10:00	11:00 12:00	13:00 14	00 15:00 16:0	10 17:00	18:00 19:00
844133-Comp #1 / 7.600 LLT				0 LLT (+7.200 LLT)	7.200 LLT	7.200 LLT	7.200 LLT (-7.200 LLT)			- C-	-1 - 1		18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			property of the	- 1p - 04	
844133-Comp #3 / 7.00011T				0111(44.400111)	6.60011T	6.600 ILT	6.600 LLT 6.600 LLT	7.600 CET (*7.600 CET	WTH_DE06HPY	r_844035 -			TOHOSAY (SSTIE) [GREAT		CHAMEX De	no_H8_1			
044133-Comp #4 / 7.600 LLT 044133-Comp #5 / 6.000 LLT		-	0117(45.200117)	0 LLT (+7.600 LLT)	7.600 LLT	7.600 ILT 5 200 ILT (-5 200 ILT)	7.600 LLT	7.600 LLT (-7.600 LLT	WTH_DEDGHRA	A_844050 -					10	eptime (verse)	& EASTECURNE DITRA	Ceno HE 1	
844133-Comp #6 / 7.000 LLT			0 LLT (+6.300 LLT)	6.300 LLT	6.300 LLT	6.300 LLT (-6.300 LLT)					1		the second se		and a second				
Workload Volume				91,05%	94,86%				WTH_DKOGHRG	6_844107 - HR	ABERDARE LOUBIST	$(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{b}})$	Shell Warw OI KIN	G_C BANEURY EXTRA	Demo_H	0_1			
LEU590FP 044133			31,35%	99,94%	99,94%	68,59%	35,95%		WTH DEDINET	1 844027			104/564 (91%)	Instant Instant (age	Terro Terro	1047564 (20%)	Number Grand	Come Station 17	Demo HE 1
Total May	13.180 Kg 44.005 Kg	13,160 Kg	22.941 Kg 44.000 Kg	43.980 Kg	43.980 Kg	34.319 Kg	24.250 Kg	13.190 Kg											
-I EUS90FP	8.870 Kg / 23.000 Kg	8.870 Kg / 23.000 Kg	7.714 Kg / 23.000 Kg	20.502 Kg / 23.000 Kg	20.502 Kg / 23.000 Kg	21.658 Kg / 23.000 Kg	14.094 Kg / 23.000 Kg	8.870 Kg / 23.000 Kg	WTH_DKOGHRL	_844038 -			1048560 (100%)			ATERDARE			Demo HB 1
									WTH DKIMHEM	844534		8000	1046548 (10036)	Tessa Station 3		Dena Hill 1997		The second s	Comp HS 1
									-	-	1	0				and a second second		and the opening	and the second second
erview running programs				and the owner where the party of the local division of the local d	Statement of the local division in which the local division in which the local division is not the local division of the local division in the local divis			The subscription of the local division of the local division of the local division of the local division of the	WTH_DK06HR0	0_844036		1048526 (102%)	ABINIDON EXTRA 1048527	100%) Nuitar Gra		ANERSHAM	Demo HE 1	Contract of Contractor	3
SR visualization Dispatcher World	sench ROM Trip								WTH DK06HRR	844005 -			1048567 (100%)	5 ABV	1040568 (99%) Test Te	n and 1	WHITSTARLE EXTRA	Deno HB 1	2
											1	0400				Co. Test Terminal I	14	8	10,1
									WTH_DK06HRW	_844112 -		+ 22	104654	(100%) Demo Station	2 1048547 (100%) sr Gras	12:15 - 12:27	Accounting	ALEXIPA	Deno HE 1
		1							WTH DEBENDZ	844013		1	1047517 (10276)	10100	104/518 (100%)	Loadings 3.000 LLT MO99 (88_Cont	set_1/30)	CINESTON LOT	Demo HE 10
start 🕅 📶 🦽 🧕 📃 🧕	openTAS	penTAS Client: 100.								<		1	100017 (10010)		(idea)	16.975 LLT ULSP (88_Cont 17.800 LLT CITD (88_Cont	act_1/10) rest_1/20)		come jurge
									And the second sec										

/6 new task(s). ICO_JB ICOOTCP6 NUM

DE KHO & 16:

- Software had to be integrated in running SAP environment
- Answer had to come quickly after an unexpected event occured
- Drivers always had to know where to head next

The ants work different: Each ant tries to construct a complete solution

When a new event occurs: The next ants will find out automatically

Emerging pheromone traces: Some round trips are easier than others

FH Wedel Prof. Dr. Sebastian Iwanowski Ant Algorithms and Applications Slide 40

TSP ant algorithm in another practical application

Transfer from TSP to navigation display problem (master thesis 2007)

It depends on the order of cities considered how long you can display a city's name:

Problem:

Find an order in which the maximum number of cities may be displayed at all zoom levels.

TSP ant algorithm in another practical application

Transfer from TSP to navigation display problem (master thesis 2007)

Analogy of display problem to TSP:

- Display feasibility depending on city order corresponds to path lengths in TSP
- Pheromones indicate how useful it is to consider city j directly after city i.

Results of ant procedure:

- Continuously, new solutions were found.
- The quality of the results could be evaluated and reconsidered after inspecting the output (learning property).

It depends on the order of cities considered how long you can display a city's name:

Ant Algorithms and Applications

- 1. Motivation: Natural ant systems and their modifications for computer simulation
- 2. Solving the navigation problem
- 3. Solving the vehicle routing problem in logistics
- 4. Conclusion: Lessons learnt

Ant Algorithms and their Applications

When should ant algorithms be applied ?

- Only in the dynamic case: When rapid and unexpected changes matter
- When the computation of the mathematically best solution is not feasible or not necessary

FH Wedel Prof. Dr. Sebastian Iwanowski Ant Algorithms and Applications Slide 44