## **Discrete Mathematics**

Sebastian Iwanowski FH Wedel

Chapter 3: Proof concepts

**References:** 

Iwanowski/Lang 3 (in German) Epp 3, 4.1-4.4, 7.4 Rosen 1.5, 3.1-3.4, 4.2

## **3.1 Glossary of mathematical structures**

#### Assumptions: Axioms

Axioms are assumptions that need not be proved. They are implicite (i.e. mainly not mentioned) prerequesites for a lot of propositions.

#### Notation: Definitions

Definitions are simplifying notations. They are neither propositions nor axioms, i.e. neither to be assumed nor to be proven.

#### • Propositions: Theorem, Lemma, Corollary

Theorems, lemmas and corollaries are true propositions. If an issue is a proposition (and not a definition or an axiom) is often easy to see. It is much more difficult to prove that it is a true proposition.

#### Proofs

Chain of logical implications in order to prove the truth of a proposition. The chain starts with an assertion (in most cases a set of axioms) and ends with the asserted proposition.

## **3.1 Glossary of mathematical structures**

### Peano's set of axioms for the natural numbers:

Given a set  $\mathbb N$  and a successor relation  $\sigma \subset \mathbb N \ x \ \mathbb N$ 

1) 0 ∈ ℕ

- 2) The successor relation is a function.
- 3) The successor relation in injective.
- 4) 0 is not a successor of a natural number.
- 5) With a finite number of successive applications of the successor relation to 0 one can generate *each* element of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

#### Theorem: Peano's set of axiom is minimal.

The removal of one axiom admits structures satisfying all other axioms, but looking totally different from our notion of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

## **3.2 Mathematical induction**

Mathematical induction is a systematic proof concept which is applied very frequently in computer science.

#### **Basic principle (simplest variant):**

The issue to prove is a proposition of the form P(n) for an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

**1) Base case:** Prove: P(0) holds.

**2) Inductive step:** Prove: P(n) implies P(n+1).

The proof should not show the validity of P(n), but assume it as prerequesite. To prove is only the validity of P(n+1).

The mathematical induction must hold for all  $n \ge 0$  (no restrictions admitted!)

#### **Examples: see assignments**

#### **Own practice makes perfect!**

## **3.2 Mathematical induction**

### Generalisation of the basic priciple:

The issue to prove is a proposition of the form P(n) for an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

- **1) Base case:** Prove: P(0) holds.
- **2) Inductive step:** Prove: One of P(0), ..., P(n) implies P(n+1)

### **Applications:**

1) Prime factorisation (existence):

Each natural number n > 1 may be factores in a product  $p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot p_k$  such that all factors  $p_i$  are prime numbers. (Proof by mathematical induction via n)

### 2) Divisibility proof using the checksum

Each natural number n is divisible by 3 if and only if its checksum is divisible by 3. (Proof by mathematical induction via n)

## **3.2 Mathematical induction**

### Inductive definitions for functions $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ :

The function is defined in 2 steps:

- i. The function is defined for a certain natural number (usually 0 or 1).
- ii. A rule is given how to compute the function value of a number from the function value of the predecessor of that number.

### Examples:

1) Factorial n! = 
$$1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot ... \cdot n$$
  
i)  $0! = 1$   
ii)  $n! = n \cdot (n-1)!$   
2) Fibonacci numbers  $F_n$   
i)  $F_0 = 0$   $F_1 = 1$   
ii)  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ 

## **3.2 Mathematical induction**

### **Generalisation: Recursive definitions of arbitrary sets:**

The set is defined in 2 steps:

- i. Some elements are defined explicitly (terminal elements)
- ii. Some rules are given how to generate new elements from old elements (*recursion rules*).

### Examples:

- 1) Grammar definitions over finite alphabets
  - i) Some words are defined directly (so-called constants made of terminal symbols).
  - ii) Production rules define
     how to form new words from existing words of the grammar.
- 2) Backus-Naur form for the syntax of programming languages (will be discussed in other lectures)

## **3.2 Mathematical induction**

### Applications in geometry and graph theory

### **Example: Map coloring**

#### **Definitions:**

A **map** is a decomposition of a two-dimensional area into faces (the "countries") which are confined by one-dimensional curves (the borders). Some countries may be open to infinity.

An **admissible coloring** of a map is the assignment of colors to each country such that adjacent countries (having a common border, single points are not considered) have different colors.

#### Theorem:

Each map generated by just n straight lines (resp. n circles) arbitrarily placed in the plane, may be colored by 2 colors.

## **3.3 Other proof strategies**

**Direct proof** 

 $(p \rightarrow q) \land p \Rightarrow q$ modus ponens

Proof by contraposition

 $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{q} \Leftrightarrow \neg \mathbf{q} \rightarrow \neg \mathbf{p}$  contraposition

 $(\neg p \rightarrow q) \land (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \Rightarrow p$ indirect proof

$$p \rightarrow p$$
)  $\Rightarrow p$   
of by contradiction

 $(\neg p \rightarrow \bot) \Rightarrow p$ proof by contradiction

pro

## **3.3 Other proof strategies**

Equivalence proof

$$\mathbf{p} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{q} \Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{q}) \land (\mathbf{q} \rightarrow \mathbf{p})$$
  
replacing equivalence by implications

**Proof by cases** 

$$((p_1 \lor p_2) \rightarrow p) \land (p_1 \lor p_2) \Rightarrow p$$
  
proof by 2 cases

analogously: Proof by more than 2 cases

Proof by enumeration (Pidgeonhole principle)

Given **f**:  $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ , where  $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N}$  are finite. Then holds:  $|\mathbf{M}| > |\mathbf{N}| \Rightarrow \mathbf{f}$  is not injective.