

Algorithmics

Sebastian Iwanowski
FH Wedel

5. String Matching

Algorithmics 5

String Matching

Task: Given a text $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ with n literals and a pattern $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ with m literals:
Find the starting positions where P occurs in T .

naive algorithm: needs $O(nm)$ time

Algorithm of Knuth-Morris-Pratt: needs $O(n)$ time

Def.: P_q denotes the prefix of P consisting of the first q literals. ($P_q = P[1], \dots, P[q]$)

Def.: The prefix function $\pi: \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ for the pattern P is defined as:
 $\pi(q) = k \Leftrightarrow k$ is the length of the longest strict prefix of P_q (*strict* means: $k < q$)
which is also a Suffix of P_q

General method of the KMP algorithm:

For each $q \leq m$, compute the value $\pi(q)$ of the prefix function and store it.
Then scan T in only one iteration and shift P at any mismatch in pattern position q
by $q - \pi(q)$.

In class: Why is this correct?

References:

Alt, Kap. 4.8

Cormen, ch. 32 (String matching), esp. 32.4 (KMP)

Algorithmics 5

String Matching

Algorithm of Knuth-Morris-Pratt:

needs $O(n)$ time

Implementation of main procedure:

```
i := 1; q := 0;
while i ≤ n do
{
  while (q > 0) and (T[i] ≠ P[q+1])
    q := π (q);
  if T[i] = P[q+1] then q := q+1;
  if q = m
  then
  {
    print („Matching at position “, i-m);
    q := π (q);
  }
  i := i+1;
}
```

Invariant: $q=0$: no strict prefix of P coincides at a suffix of P ending at i
 $q>0$ corresponds to the maximum index $< i$ s.t.
 $(P[i-q+1], \dots, T[i])$ coincides with $(P[1], \dots, P[q])$

In class: Why is this algorithm correct?

Home work:

Why does this algorithm need $O(n)$ time?

References:

Alt, Kap. 4.8

Cormen, ch. 32 (String matching), esp. 32.4 (KMP)

Algorithmics 5

String Matching

Algorithm of Knuth-Morris-Pratt:

needs $O(n)$ time

Implementation of prefix function (according to Cormen/Alt): needs $O(m)$ time

```
 $\pi(1) := 0;$ 
 $i := 2; q := 0;$ 
while  $i \leq m$  do
{
  while ( $q > 0$ ) and ( $P[i] \neq P[q+1]$ ) do
     $q := \pi(q);$ 
  if  $P[i] = P[q+1]$  then  $q := q+1;$ 
   $\pi(i) := q;$ 
   $i := i+1;$ 
}
```

Invariant: $q=0$: no strict prefix of P coincides at a suffix of T ending at i
 $q>0$ corresponds to the maximum index $< i$ s.t.
 $(P[i-q+1], \dots, P[i])$ coincides with $(P[1], \dots, P[q])$

In class: Why is this algorithm correct?

In class:

Why does this algorithm need $O(m)$ time?

References:

Alt, Kap. 4.8

Cormen, ch. 32 (String matching), esp. 32.4 (KMP)