# **Algorithmics** Sebastian Iwanowski FH Wedel 5. String Matching

## **String Matching**

**Task:** Given a text  $T = \{t_1, ..., t_n\}$  with n literals and a pattern  $P = \{p_1, ..., p_m\}$  with m literals:

Find the starting positions where P occurs in T.

naive algorithm: needs O(nm) time

Algorithm of Knuth-Morris-Pratt: needs O(n) time

**Def.:**  $P_{\alpha}$  denotes the prefix of P consisting of the first q literals.

**Def.:** The prefix function  $\pi: \mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\} \to \mathbb{N}$  for the pattern P is defined as:

 $\pi(q) = k \Leftrightarrow k$  is the length of the longest strict prefix of  $P_q$  (*strict* means: k < q)

which is also a Suffix of P<sub>a</sub>

#### **General method of the KMP algorithm:**

For each  $q \le m$ , compute the value  $\pi(q)$  of the prefix function and store it.

Then scan T in only one iteration and shift P at any mismatch in pattern position q

In class: Why is this correct?

by  $q - \pi(q)$ .

#### References:

Alt, Kap. 4.8

Cormen, ch. 32 (String matching), esp. 32.4 (KMP)

## **String Matching**

Algorithm of Knuth-Morris-Pratt: needs O(n) time Implementation of main procedure (version of Cormen):

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{i} &:= 1; \ \mathbf{q} &:= 0; & \textit{Invariant:} \ q \ \textit{corresponds to an index such that} \\ \text{while } \mathbf{i} \leq \mathbf{n} \ \text{do} & (T[i\text{-}q+1],...,T[i]) \ \textit{coincides with } (P[1],...,P[q]) \\ \{ & \text{while } (\mathbf{q} \!\!>\! \mathbf{0}) \ \text{and} \ (\mathbf{T}[\mathbf{i}] \neq P[\mathbf{q}+1]) \\ & \mathbf{q} &:= \pi \ (\mathbf{q}) \ ; & \text{To be considered with this version:} \\ & \mathbf{if} \ \mathbf{T}[\mathbf{i}] = P[\mathbf{q}+1] \ \text{then} \ \mathbf{q} &:= \mathbf{q}+1 \ ; & \text{Why is this algorithm correct?} \\ & \mathbf{if} \ \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{m} \\ & \text{then} \\ & \{ & \text{print } (\text{``Matching at position ```, } \mathbf{i}-\mathbf{m}) \ ; \\ & \mathbf{q} &:= \pi \ (\mathbf{q}) \ ; \\ & \mathbf{i} &:= \mathbf{i}+1 \ ; \\ \} \end{array}
```

#### References:

Alt, Kap. 4.8 Cormen, ch. 32 (String matching), esp. 32.4 (KMP)

## **String Matching**

```
Algorithm of Knuth-Morris-Pratt:
                                             needs O(n) time
Implementation of main procedure (version of lw):
     i := 1; q := 1;
                                   Invariant: q corresponds to an index such that
     while i \leq n do
                                            (T[i-q+1],...,T[i-1]) coincides with (P[1],...,P[q-1])
          if (T[i] = P[q]) or (q = 1)
                                             Home work:
             then i := i+1
                                             Why does this algorithm need O(n) time?
             else q := \pi (q-1)+1;
          if (T[i] = P[q]) then q := q+1;
          if q > m
             then
                  print ("Matching at position ", i-m);
                  q := \pi (q-1)+1;
References:
Alt, Kap. 4.8
Cormen, ch. 32 (String matching), esp. 32.4 (KMP)
```

## **String Matching**

Algorithm of Knuth-Morris-Pratt: needs O(n) time

Implementation of prefix function (according to Cormen/Alt): needs O(m) time

```
\begin{array}{lll} \pi(1) & := 0; & & & & & \\ q & := 0; & & & & & \\ \text{for } i & := 2 \text{ to m do} & & & & \\ \text{while } (P(q+1) \neq P(i)) & \text{and } (q > 0) & \text{do} \\ & q & := \pi(q); & & \\ & if \ P(q+1) = P(i) & & \\ & then \ q & := q+1; \\ & \pi(i) & := q & \\ \end{array}
```

#### References:

Alt, Kap. 4.8 Cormen, ch. 32 (String matching), esp. 32.4 (KMP)